

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT
12 ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY
13 PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Case No. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR
MDL No. 3047

14 This Document Relates to:

15 *F.S. filed on behalf of minor R.S. v. Meta
16 Platforms, Inc. et al, 4:24-cv-04701;*
17
*A.W. on behalf of A.M. v. Meta Platforms,
18 Inc. et al, 4:24-cv-06726;*
19
*T.P. on behalf of M.P. v. Snap Inc. et al,
20 4:24-cv-06730;*
21
*T.P. on behalf of L.P. v. Meta Platforms, Inc.
22 et al., 4:24-cv-06731;*
23
*S.G., filed on behalf of minor A.G. v.
24 ByteDance Inc. et al, 4:24-cv-07429;*
25
*L.S. individually and on behalf of S.T. v.
26 Meta Platforms, Inc. et al, 4:24-cv-07630;*
27
*K.C. and K.B. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al,
28 4:24-cv-07663;*
*C.J. and K.J. v. Google LLC et al, 4:24-cv-
07664;*
*S.C. and Z.C. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al,
4:24-cv-07665;*
L.C. and B.C. v. TikTok, Inc. et al, 4:24-cv-

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' TWELFTH CONSOLIDATED
EX PARTE APPLICATION AND
APPOINTING GUARDIANS AD LITEM**

1 07898;
2 *S.B. and A.S. v. TikTok, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-
3 07900;
4 *R.D. and B.D. v. TikTok, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-
5 07902;
6 *R.C. individually and on behalf of A.C. v.*
7 *Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07907;
8 *C.J., individually and on behalf of K.J. v.*
9 *Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07905;
10 *F.D. and S.D. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*,
11 4:24-cv-08626;
12 *J.P. and A.B. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*,
13 4:24-cv-08628;
14 *P.K. and K.K. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*,
15 4:24-cv-08629;
16 *L.S. individually and on behalf of S.L. v.*
17 *Google LLC et al*, 4:24-cv-08639;
18 *M.P. individually and on behalf of E.P. v.*
19 *Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08645;
20 *S.W. and Z.T. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*,
21 4:24-cv-08648;
22 *J.W. individually and on behalf of M.B. v.*
23 *Snap, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08651;
24 *I.W. and E.W. v. Snap, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-
25 08653;
26 *J.T. and A.M. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*,
27 4:24-cv-08670;
28 *D.C. and D.W. v. Google LLC et al*, 4:24-cv-
08686;
29 *R.C. individually and on behalf of C.R. v.*
30 *Google LLC et al*, 4:24-cv-08687;
31 *T.G. individually and on behalf of J.D. v.*
32 *Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08693;
33 *H.C., by and through Amber Humphrey,*
34 3:24-cv-08732;
35 *A.O. and A.S. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*,

1 4:24-cv-08813;
2 *D.G. and F.G. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al,*
3 4:24-cv-08817;
4 *P.L. and S.L. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al,*
5 4:24-cv-08819;
6 *F.A. and L.G. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al,*
7 4:24-cv-08882;
HM and GM v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al,
4:24-cv-08884.

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The Court is in receipt of Plaintiffs' Twelfth *Ex Parte* Application for Appointment of Guardians *Ad Litem* (hereinafter, "Twelfth *Ex Parte* Application").

Pursuant to this Court’s Order Regarding Appointment of Guardians *Ad Litem* (ECF No. 122), *Ex Parte* Applications for Appointment of Guardians *Ad Litem* (“Applications”) submitted by parents and/or legal guardians were deemed presumptively approved upon filing because there was no apparent conflict between the applicants’ parental responsibility and their obligation to assist the Court in “achieving a just and speedy determination of the action.” ECF No. 122 ¶ 4 (citing *J.M. v. Liberty Union High Sch. Dist.*, No. 16-cv-05225-LB, 2016 WL 4942999, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 16, 2016)).

On December 23, 2024, Applications were submitted by the parents and/or legal guardians to serve as guardians *ad litem* for the individual minor plaintiffs in the following cases:

- *F.S. filed on behalf of minor R.S. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al, 4:24-cv-04701 (Exhibit 1);*
- *A.W. on behalf of A.M. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al, 4:24-cv-06726 (Exhibit 2);*
- *T.P. on behalf of M.P. v. Snap Inc. et al, 4:24-cv-06730 (Exhibit 3);*
- *T.P. on behalf of L.P. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al., 4:24-cv-06731 (Exhibit 4);*
- *S.G., filed on behalf of minor A.G. v. ByteDance Inc. et al, 4:24-cv-07429 (Exhibit 5);*
- *L.S. individually and on behalf of S.T. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al, 4:24-cv-07630 (Exhibit 6);*
- *K.C. and K.B. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al, 4:24-cv-07663 (Exhibit 7);*
- *C.J. and K.J. v. Google LLC et al, 4:24-cv-07664 (Exhibit 8);*
- *S.C. and Z.C. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al, 4:24-cv-07665 (Exhibit 9);*
- *L.C. and B.C. v. TikTok, Inc. et al, 4:24-cv-07898 (Exhibit 10);*
- *S.B. and A.S. v. TikTok, Inc. et al, 4:24-cv-07900 (Exhibit 11);*
- *R.D. and B.D. v. TikTok, Inc. et al, 4:24-cv-07902 (Exhibit 12);*

- *R.C. individually and on behalf of A.C. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07907 (Exhibit 13);
- *C.J. individually and on behalf of K.J. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-07905 (Exhibit 14);
- *F.D. and S.D. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08626 (Exhibit 15);
- *J.P. and A.B. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08628 (Exhibit 16);
- *P.K. and K.K. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08629 (Exhibit 17);
- *L.S. individually and on behalf of S.L. v. Google LLC et al*, 4:24-cv-08639 (Exhibit 18);
- *M.P. individually and on behalf of E.P. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08645 (Exhibit 19);
- *S.W. and Z.T. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08648 (Exhibit 20);
- *J.W. individually and on behalf of M.B. v. Snap, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08651 (Exhibit 21);
- *I.W. and E.W. v. Snap, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08653 (Exhibit 22);
- *J.T. and A.M. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08670 (Exhibit 23);
- *D.C. and D.W. v. Google LLC et al*, 4:24-cv-08686 (Exhibit 24);
- *R.C. individually and on behalf of C.R. v. Google LLC et al*, 4:24-cv-08687 (Exhibit 25);
- *T.G. individually and on behalf of J.D. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08693 (Exhibit 26);
- *H.C., by and through Amber Humphrey*, 3:24-cv-08732 (Exhibit 27);
- *A.O. and A.S. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08813 (Exhibit 28);
- *D.G. and F.G. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08817 (Exhibit 29);
- *P.L. and S.L. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08819 (Exhibit 30);
- *F.A. and L.G. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08882 (Exhibit 31);
- *HM and GM v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al*, 4:24-cv-08884 (Exhibit 32).

1 Pursuant to this Court's Order Regarding Appointments of Guardian *Ad Litem*, the
2 Court's presumptive approval of these Applications will become final if no objections are filed
3 within fifteen (15) days of the filing of Plaintiffs' Twelfth *Ex Parte* Application. ECF No.122 ¶5.

4 Having received no objections on or before January 7, 2024, which is the fifteenth day
5 after the filing of Plaintiffs' Twelfth *Ex Parte* Application, and good cause appearing, it is hereby
6 ordered that that the applicants identified in the Applications for the cases listed above are
7 appointed as guardians *ad litem* for the minor plaintiffs in those actions for the purposes of this
8 litigation. These appointments, as well as all prior appointments of guardians *ad litem* in this case,
9 shall remain in effect until the minor reaches the age of majority.

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11 Dated: _____

12 Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28